arXiv:1409.5772v1 [math.RT] 19 Sep 2014

THE SWISS CHEESE THEOREM FOR LINEAR OPERATORS WITH TWO INVARIANT SUBSPACES

AUDREY MOORE AND MARKUS SCHMIDMEIER

ABSTRACT. We study systems (V, T, U_1, U_2) consisting of a finite dimensional vector space V, a nilpotent k-linear operator $T : V \to V$ and two T-invariant subspaces $U_1 \subset U_2 \subset V$. Let $\mathcal{S}(n)$ be the category of such systems where the operator T acts with nilpotency index at most n. We determine the dimension types $(\dim U_1, \dim U_2/U_1, \dim V/U_2)$ of indecomposable systems in $\mathcal{S}(n)$ for $n \leq 4$. It turns out that in the case where $n = 4$ there are infinitely many such triples (x, y, z), they all lie in the cylinder given by $|x - y|, |y - z|, |z - x| \leq 4$. But not each dimension type in the cylinder can be realized by an indecomposable system. In particular, there are holes in the cylinder. Namely, no triple in $(x, y, z) \in (3, 1, 3) + \mathbb{N}(2, 2, 2)$ can be realized, while each neighbor $(x \pm 1, y, z), (x, y \pm 1, z), (x, y, z \pm 1)$ can. Compare this with Bongartz' No-Gap Theorem, which states that for an associative algebra A over an algebraically closed field, there is no gap in the lengths of the indecomposable A-modules of finite dimension.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let k be a field. We are interested in all possible configurations consisting of a finite dimensional k-vector space V, a linear operator T acting on V, and a pair of subspaces $U_1 \subset U_2$ of V which are invariant under the action of T. We call such configurations (V, T, U_1, U_2) *systems* or *quadruples,* they form the objects of a category $\mathcal{S}$; the morphisms in $\mathcal{S}$ from (V, T, U_1, U_2) to (V', T', U_1', U_2') are those linear maps $f : V \to V'$ which commute with the action of the operator in the sense that $fT' = Tf$ holds, and which preserve the subspaces, that is, $f(U_1) \subset U_1'$ and $f(U_2) \subset U_2'$.

1.1. **The categories $\mathcal{S}(n)$.** The category $\mathcal{S}$ is additive and has the Krull-Remak-Schmidt property, so every system has a unique direct sum decomposition into indecomposable ones. Moreover, $\mathcal{S}$ carries the exact structure given by sequences of systems and homomorphisms which give rise to three short exact sequences of vector spaces, namely of the ambient spaces, the small, and the intermediate subspaces. There are three simple objects,

$$E_1 = (k, 0, k, k), \quad E_2 = (k, 0, 0, k), \quad E_3 = (k, 0, 0, 0),$$

in each the ambient space V is one-dimensional, and either both, one, or none of the subspaces are equal to the ambient space. The *dimension type* of a system

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16G20, 47A15.

Key words and phrases. linear operators, invariant subspaces, No-Gap Theorem, tubular algebras.

This research is partially supported by a Travel and Collaboration Grant from the Simons Foundation (Grant number 245848 to the second named author).

$M = (V, T, U_1, U_2)$ is the triple

$$(x, y, z) = (\dim U_1, \dim U_2/U_1, \dim V/U_2)$$

which consists of the multiplicities of E_1, E_2, E_3 as composition factors of M, respectively.

For n a natural number, let $\mathcal{S}(n)$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{S}$ of all systems (V, T, U_1, U_2) where the operator $T : V \to V$ acts with nilpotency index at most n, so $T^n = 0$ holds. Categories of type $\mathcal{S}(n)$ have been studied in the first author's doctoral dissertation [7]. In this paper, we are interested in the dimension types of the indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{S}(n)$.

1.2. **The hexagonal picture.** For each of the categories $\mathcal{S}(n)$ where $n \leq 4$ we will determine all dimension types of indecomposable systems. The representation finite cases are dealt with in Section 4; the categories $\mathcal{S}(1)$, $\mathcal{S}(2)$ and $\mathcal{S}(3)$ have 3, 9, 27 indecomposable objects, respectively. By contrast, the classification of indecomposable systems in $\mathcal{S}(n)$ for $n > 4$ is considered an infeasible problem. So the category $\mathcal{S}(4)$ is of particular interest as a borderline case. Our main result is the description of the possible dimension types for the category $\mathcal{S}(4)$:

THEOREM 1.1. *A triple $(x, y, z) \neq 0$ of non-negative integers is the dimension type of an indecomposable system in $\mathcal{S}(4)$ if and only if either all coordinate differences $|x - y|$, $|y - z|$, $|z - x|$ are all at most 3 and*

$$(x, y, z) \notin \{(1, 3, 1), (1, 4, 1), (3, 1, 3)\} \quad + \mathbb{N}(2, 2, 2)$$

or else

$$\begin{aligned}(x, y, z) \in \{&(4, 0, 0), (0, 4, 0), (0, 0, 4),\\ &(4, 2, 0), (2, 4, 0), (4, 0, 2), (2, 0, 4), (0, 4, 2), (0, 2, 4),\\ &(5, 4, 1), (5, 2, 1), (1, 4, 5), (1, 2, 5)\} \quad + \mathbb{N}(2, 2, 2).\end{aligned}$$

In particular, the triple $(3, 1, 3)$ is not the dimension type of any indecomposable object in $\mathcal{S}(4)$, but each neighbor $(3 \pm 1, 1, 3), (3, 1 \pm 1, 3), (3, 1, 3 \pm 1)$ is. Hence the title of the paper.

Note that a non-zero triple $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ occurs as the dimension type of an indecomposable object in $\mathcal{S}(4)$ if and only if the triple $(x + 2, y + 2, z + 2)$ does. We observe that for each dimension type, all coordinate differences are at most 4, hence it lies in the first octant part of a cylinder with axis $(2, 2, 2)$.

We project this cylinder along its axis onto the plane given by the equation $x + y + z = 0$. Up to a shift by a multiple of $(2, 2, 2)$, each dimension type (x, y, z) either (a) lies on one of the coordinate planes and corresponds to a point in the diagram on the left, or (b) has minimum entry 1 and corresponds to a point in the diagram on the right, or (c) is a positive integer multiple of $(2, 2, 2)$.



$\min(x, y, z)$ even $\min(x, y, z)$ odd

1.3. **No gaps but holes.** Suppose $\mathcal{C}$ is an exact category such that the Grothendieck group has basis given by the classes of m simple objects. The *dimension type* of an object in $\mathcal{C}$ is the corresponding element in $\mathbb{Z}^m$. We consider the set $\mathcal{V}$ of all dimension types of indecomposable objects. By $B(r)$ we denote the (closed) ball in $\mathbb{R}^m$ with center 0 and radius r. We are interested in topological properties of $\mathcal{V}$, or rather in topological properties of the region $\mathcal{V} + B(r)$ in $\mathbb{R}^m$, for some suitable radius r.

Is $\mathcal{V}$ connected?
More precisely, we ask if the region $\mathcal{V} + B(\frac{1}{2})$ is connected.

In [11, p. 655], Ringel states the following open problem. Let A be a finite dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field. Given an indecomposable A-module of length $n > 1$, is there an indecomposable submodule or factor module of dimension $n - 1$?

We note that a positive answer to Ringel's question yields that the set $\mathcal{V}$ of dimension types of indecomposable A-modules is connected, provided only that the algebra A is connected.

For modules over a finite dimensional algebra A over an algebraically closed field, the No Gap Theorem states that whenever there is an indecomposable A-module of length $n > 1$, then there is one of length $n - 1$. Note that the connectedness of $\mathcal{V}$ implies the statement in the No Gap Theorem [2, Theorem 1].

Is $\mathcal{V}$ simply connected?
Here we are interested in the region $\mathcal{V} + B(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2})$ in $\mathbb{R}^m$.

Note that $\mathcal{V}$ is not always simply connected. For some algebras, for example $kQ/(\alpha^2)$ where Q is a cycle of length at least 3 with all arrows labelled α, the set $\mathcal{V}$ has a hole at the origin.

We present here an example from the theory of linear operators with one invariant subspace. The category $\mathcal{S}_1(5)$ studied in [10, (6.5)] consists of all triples (V, T, U) where V is a finite dimensional vector space, $T : V \to V$ a linear operator acting nilpotently with nilpotency index at most 5, and U a subspace of V invariant under T. There are two simple objects, $(k, 0, k)$ and $(k, 0, 0)$; their multiplicities as composition factors of an object (V, T, U) define the dimension pair (x, y) where $x = \dim U$, $y = \dim V/U$. In this example, the set $\mathcal{V}$ consists of the following 50

points.



(For each indecomposable system, the number next to the vertex counts the Jordan blocks of the linear operator (V, T).)

In this example, $\mathcal{V}$ is connected but not simply connected since the vertex $(5, 5)$ is missing.

Is it possible that $\mathcal{V}$ has holes?
Here we consider the region $\mathcal{V} + B(\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{6})$ in $\mathbb{R}^m$. The radius is such that the octahedron with vertex set $\{(\pm 1, 0, 0), (0, \pm 1, 0), (0, 0, \pm 1)\}$ is simply connected and has a hole at the origin.

We deduce from Theorem 1.1 that the set $\mathcal{V}$ for the category $\mathcal{S}(4)$ is connected and simply connected but has holes in positions $(3, 1, 3)$, $(5, 3, 5)$, $(7, 5, 7)$, etc.

1.4. **Linear operators in control theory.** We would like to point out that linear operators with two invariant subspaces occur naturally in the theory of linear time-invariant dynamical systems. Such a system Σ consists of the following first order differential equations.

$$\Sigma: \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) & = B\,x(t) + A\,u(t) \\ y(t) & = C\,x(t) \end{cases}$$

Here, $x(t) \in V$ is the *state*, $u(t) \in U$ the *input* or *control*, and $y(t) \in W$ the *output* at time t. The term *time invariance* refers to the linear maps A, B and C. Note that the data in Σ define a representation of the following quiver.



A system Σ is *completely controllable* if any state can be reached from the zero state in finite time, for some piecewise continuous input function $u(t)$. Dually, Σ is *completely observable* if any state can be uniquely determined from the values of $y(t)$, taken over a finite time interval. The controllable subspace U_2 of V is the sum of the images $U_2 = \sum_{k\geq 0} \mathrm{Im} B^k A$ [6, Theorem 1.24], while the non-observable subspace is given by the intersection of the kernels $U_1' = \bigcap_{k\geq 0} \mathrm{Ker}\, CB^k$ [6, Theorem 1.33]. Clearly, both U_2 and U_1' are invariant under multiplication by B. Putting $T = B$ and $U_1 = U_1' \cap U_2$, we obtain a quadruple (V, T, U_1, U_2) of a linear operator and two invariant subspaces. This system is in $\mathcal{S}(n)$ if all Jordan blocks for the operator B have size at most n.

The Kalman decomposition of a control system Σ yields a system, called the *minimal realization,* which is completely controllable and completely observable. The state space of this system is obtained as the subquotient U_2/U_1 of the subspaces in the quadruple (V, T, U_1, U_2).



1.5. **Related results.** The problem of classifying the embeddings of an invariant subspace in a linear operator can be traced back to the 1934 paper by Garrett Birkhoff [1] where he asks the corresponding question for embeddings of a subgroup in an abelian group. There have been many generalizations of this problem, in particular in [13], the representation types of categories of chains of invariant subspaces (which includes our case of chains of length 2) have been determined. For homological properties of chain categories we refer the reader to [14]. In [8], the Auslander and Ringel-Tachikawa Theorem has been shown for chain categories. The relation with singularity theory has been pointed out in [5].

1.6. **Organization of this paper.** In Section 2 we review the description of the category $\mathcal{S}(4)$ as given in [7]. In particular, the indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{S}(4)$ either correspondto certain modules over a tubular algebra A, or else occur on one of three rays that are inserted in the Auslander-Reiten quiver for A.

We compute the dimension types which can be realized by A-modules in Section 3. Two directions are necessary: First, we show that dimension types which are not in the list cannot occur since they are not roots of a certain integral quadratic form. Second, the dimension types in the list will be realized by modules over some tame domestic algebra.

In Section 4 we list the dimension types for the indecomposable systems in the categories $\mathcal{S}(1)$, $\mathcal{S}(2)$, $\mathcal{S}(3)$.

2. The category $\mathcal{S}(4)$

The main result is a consequence of the detailed investigation of the category $\mathcal{S}(4)$ in [7]. In this section we review some of the results.

2.1. **Quiver representations.** We can consider the objects in $\mathcal{S}(4)$ as quadruples (V, T, U_1, U_2), or alternatively, as nilpotent linear operators with two invariant subspaces, one contained in the other. There is a third way to describe those objects, namely as representations of the quiver

Q :



which satisfy the commutativity relations $\beta\alpha' = \alpha\beta$, $\beta'\alpha'' = \alpha'\beta'$; the nilpotency relation $\alpha^4 = 0$ and the additional condition that the maps representing β and β' are monomorphisms.

2.2. **Coverings.** We will also consider the following quiver which is the universal covering for Q.

$\widetilde{Q}$:



Denote by $\mathcal{C}(\widetilde{4})$ the category of all finite dimensional representations of the quiver $\widetilde{Q}$ subject to the commutativity relations $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha'$ and $\alpha'\beta' = \beta'\alpha''$ and the nilpotency relations $\alpha^4 = 0$, $\alpha'^4 = 0$, $\alpha''^4 = 0$.

The category $\mathcal{C}(\widetilde{4})$ will serve as a reference category, we denote by $K_0(\mathcal{C}(\widetilde{4}))$ or K_0 its Grothendieck group. This is a free abelian group with basis elements $\mathbf{e}_z$ corresponding to the vertices z of $\widetilde{Q}$. For a representation M of $\widetilde{Q}$, the corresponding element in K_0 is denoted by its dimension vector $\dim M$; if $d = \dim M$ and if z is a vertex in $\widetilde{Q}$, then the corresponding component d_z of d is just the dimension of the vector space M_z at position z.

Let $\mathcal{S}(\widetilde{4})$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}(\widetilde{4})$ of all representations M for which the maps M_β, $M_{\beta'}$ are all monic. Thus, an object in $\mathcal{S}(\widetilde{4})$ is just a representation of $kA_\infty^\infty/(\alpha^4)$, together with two subrepresentations, one contained in the other.

The graded shift [1] acts on $\mathcal{C}(\widetilde{4})$ and hence on $\mathcal{S}(\widetilde{4})$, it maps a representation M to the representation $M[1]$ which is given by the vector spaces $M[1]_z = M_{z-1}$, z a vertex in $\widetilde{Q}$, where we use the notation $i' - 1 = (i-1)'$, $i'' - 1 = (i-1)''$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

2.3. **The tubular algebra A.** Consider the path algebra A of the quiver Q_A modulo the relations as indicated: One commutativity relation for the rectangle, and three zero relations.



THEOREM 2.1 ([7, Theorem 3.4]). *The algebra A is a tubular algebra of type $\mathbb{T} = (4, 4, 2)$.* □

In particular, the indecomposable A-modules occur in the following families: A preprojective component $\mathcal{P}$; a nonstable family of tubes $\mathcal{T}_0$ with three projective objects; for each $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ a stable family $\mathcal{T}_\gamma$ of tubes of type $\mathbb{T}$; a nonstable family $\mathcal{T}_\infty$ with two injective objects; and a preinjective component.

An A-module N can be considered an object, say M, in $\mathcal{C}(\widetilde{4})$ as follows.

$$M_i = \begin{cases} N_i & 1 \le i \le 5 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \qquad M'_i = \begin{cases} N_i & i < 3 \\ K & i = 3 \\ N'_4 & i = 4 \\ 0 & i > 4 \end{cases} \qquad M''_i = \begin{cases} N_i & i < 2 \\ N''_i & i = 2, 3 \\ 0 & i > 3 \end{cases}$$

Here, K is the kernel of the map $\pi : N_3 \to \bar{N}_3$. Note that if N has no direct summand isomorphic to the projective A-module $P(\bar{3})$, then the map π is an epimorphism and N can be recovered from M.

Each family $\mathcal{T}_\gamma$ consists of three extended tubes of circumference 4, 4, and 2, respectively, and a one-parameter family of homogeneous tubes. If $\gamma = \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q}_0^+ \cup \{\infty\}$ written in lowest terms, then the quasisimple module in each homogeneous tube has dimension type $a\,\mathbf{h}[1] + b\,\mathbf{h}$. Here, $\mathbf{h}$ and $\mathbf{h}[1]$ are the dimension type of quasisimple homogeneous objects in $\mathcal{T}_0$ and $\mathcal{T}_\infty$ (written as objects in $\mathcal{S}(\widetilde{4})$):

$$\mathbf{h} = \begin{array}{ccccc} & & 0 & & \\ & 0 & & 1 & \\ 0 & & 1 & & 2 \\ & 1 & & 2 & \\ & & 1 & & \end{array}, \qquad \mathbf{h}[1] = \begin{array}{ccccc} & & 1 & & \\ & 1 & & 2 & \\ 1 & & 2 & & 2 \\ & 1 & & 1 & \\ 0 & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

2.4. **Three inserted rays.** So far, none of the projective indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{C}(\widetilde{4})$ occurs as an A-module. But it turns out that the radicals of the projective objects $P(4'')$, $P(5')$ and $P(6)$ occur in the component $\mathcal{T}_\infty$ of A-mod. One of the two tubes of circumference 4 contains $\operatorname{rad} P(4'')$ and $\operatorname{rad} P(6)$, the other contains $\operatorname{rad} P(5')$. The path algebra A^+ obtained by forming the corresponding three one-point extensions is given by the quiver Q_A^+ with relations, as pictured above.

The Auslander-Reiten quiver for A^+-mod has the following shape. First, there are the preprojective component $\mathcal{P}$, the nonstable family of tubes $\mathcal{T}_0$, and the stable families of tubes $\mathcal{T}_\gamma$ of type $\mathbb{T}$ where $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}^+$. They all consist of A-modules.

The next family of tubes, $\mathcal{T}_\infty^+$, is obtained from $\mathcal{T}_\infty$ by inserting three rays at the modules $\operatorname{rad} P(4'')$, $\operatorname{rad} P(6)$ and $\operatorname{rad} P(5')$. Then there are further components which we will not specify.

The indecomposable A^+-modules, with the exception of the projective $P(\bar{3})$ are all objects in the category $\mathcal{C}(\widetilde{4})$; the above identification of A-modules with no summand isomorphic to $P(\bar{3})$ as objects in $\mathcal{C}(\widetilde{4})$ can be adapted. Moreover, the families $\mathcal{P}$ (with the exception of $P(\bar{3})$), $\mathcal{T}_0$, and $\mathcal{T}_\gamma$ for $0 < \gamma < \infty$ consist of objects in the subcategory $\mathcal{S}(\widetilde{4})$. The simple object $S(2'')$ occurs on the mouth of a tube in $\mathcal{T}_\infty^+$; note that $S(2'')$ and all modules X with $\operatorname{Hom}(X, S(2'')) \neq 0$ are not in $\mathcal{S}(\widetilde{4})$ since the maps $X_{2''} \to X_{2'}$ are not monic. Within the mentioned components, such modules X occur exactly on one coray ending at the object $S(2'')$ in $\mathcal{T}_\infty^+$. We write $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{T}_\infty^+ \cap \mathcal{S}(\widetilde{4})$ and define $\mathcal{D} = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}^+} \mathcal{T}_\gamma \sqcup \mathcal{U}$. Thus $\mathcal{U}$ consists of the following components: A one parameter family of homogeneous tubes, each quasisimple module has dimension type $\mathbf{h}[1]$; a stable extended tube of

circumference 2; an extended tube of circumference 4 which contains the projective-injective object $P(5')$; and an extended tube of circumference 4 which contains the two projective-injective objects $P(4'')$ and $P(6)$.

THEOREM 2.2 ([7, Proposition 3.12]). *The modules in $\mathcal{D}$ form the fundamental domain for the shift* [1] *in $\mathcal{S}(\widetilde{4})$.* □

We list here the dimension vectors on the modules on the three rays starting at the three projective-injective modules $P(4'')$, $P(5')$, $P(6)$ since they are the only indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{D}$ which are not A-modules.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & \\
 & 0\,0 & & 0\,0 & & 0\,1 & & 0\,1 & & 0\,1 & \\
1\,1\,1 & \to & 1\,1\,1 & \to & 1\,1\,2 & \to & 1\,1\,2 & \to & 1\,2\,3 & \to & \cdots \\
1\,1\,1 & & 1\,1\,1 & & 1\,1\,2 & & 1\,2\,2 & & 2\,3\,3 & & \\
1\,1\,1 & & 1\,1\,1 & & 1\,1\,1 & & 1\,1\,1 & & 2\,2\,2 & & \\
1\,1\,1 & & 0\,0\,0 & & 0\,0\,0 & & 0\,0\,0 & & 1\,1\,1 & &
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & \\
 & 1\,1 & & 1\,1 & & 1\,1 & & 1\,1 & & 1\,2 & \\
0\,1\,1 & \to & 0\,1\,1 & \to & 0\,1\,1 & \to & 0\,1\,2 & \to & 0\,2\,3 & \to & \cdots \\
0\,1\,1 & & 0\,1\,1 & & 1\,2\,2 & & 1\,2\,2 & & 1\,3\,3 & & \\
0\,1\,1 & & 1\,1\,1 & & 1\,1\,1 & & 1\,1\,1 & & 1\,2\,2 & & \\
0\,0\,0 & & 0\,0\,0 & & 0\,0\,0 & & 0\,0\,0 & & 0\,0\,0 & &
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & 1 & & 1 & & 1 & & 1 & & 1 & \\
 & 0\,1 & & 0\,1 & & 0\,1 & & 0\,1 & & 0\,2 & \\
0\,0\,1 & \to & 0\,0\,1 & \to & 0\,1\,2 & \to & 0\,1\,2 & \to & 0\,1\,3 & \to & \cdots \\
0\,0\,1 & & 0\,1\,1 & & 1\,2\,2 & & 1\,2\,2 & & 1\,2\,3 & & \\
0\,0\,0 & & 0\,0\,0 & & 1\,1\,1 & & 1\,1\,1 & & 1\,1\,1 & & \\
0\,0\,0 & & 0\,0\,0 & & 1\,1\,1 & & 0\,0\,0 & & 0\,0\,0 & &
\end{array}$$

Note that after every 4 steps, the dimension vector increases by $\mathbf{h}[1]$.

2.5. **The category $\mathcal{S}(4)$.** The categories $\mathcal{S}(\widetilde{4})$ and $\mathcal{S}(4)$ are related by an important functor, the the so-called covering functor

$$\mathcal{S}(\widetilde{4}) \to \mathcal{S}(4)$$

It assigns to an object $M \in \mathcal{S}(\widetilde{4})$ which is given as a representation M of $\widetilde{Q}$ the following quadruple $(V, T, U_1, U_2) \in \mathcal{S}(4)$:

$$V = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} M_i, \quad U_2 = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} M'_i, \quad U_1 = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} M''_i$$

The embedding $U_1 \to U_2$ is given by the maps M'_β, the embedding $U_2 \to V$ by the maps M_β. The operation of T on V is given by the maps α.

THEOREM 2.3 ([7, below Lemma 3.13]). *The covering functor $\mathcal{S}(\widetilde{4}) \to \mathcal{S}(4)$ is dense, it induces a bijection between the $\mathbb{Z}$-orbits of isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{S}(\widetilde{4})$ and the isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{S}(4)$.* □

Combining the two above theorems, the covering functor induces a bijection between the objects in $\mathcal{D}$ and the isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{S}(4)$. In particular, the indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{S}(4)$ are either A-modules in one of the families of tubes $\big(\bigsqcup_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Q}^+} \mathcal{T}_\gamma\big) \sqcup \big(\mathcal{T}_\infty \cap \mathcal{S}(\widetilde{4})\big)$ or lie in one of the three rays in $\mathcal{U}$ starting at a projective-injective object.

3. The possible dimension types

3.1. **A symmetry result for dimension types.** When considering the diagrams under Theorem 1.1, we observe that the set of dimension types, as a set of points in $\mathbb{R}^3$, is symmetric with respect to reflection on the plane $x = z$. The following lemma confirms this observation:

LEMMA 3.1. *For each n, the category $\mathcal{S}(n)$ has a self duality R which induces an involution on the set of dimension types given by*

$$\dim RM \;=\; (z, y, x)$$

if $M \in \mathcal{S}(n)$ has dimension type $\dim M = (x, y, z)$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}(n)$ be the category of all composable epimorphisms of $k[T]/(T^n)$-modules. Then $\mathcal{F}(n)$ and $\mathcal{S}(n)$ are both equivalent and dual:
The reflection duality

$$E: \quad (U_1 \subset U_2 \subset V) \;\mapsto\; (V \twoheadrightarrow V/U_1 \twoheadrightarrow V/U_2)$$

studied in [12, Section 5.2] actually is an equivalence of categories. Combining E with the vector space duality $D = \mathrm{Hom}_k(-, k)$ yields a self duality $R = DE$ on $\mathcal{S}(n)$:

$$R: \quad (U_1 \subset U_2 \subset V) \;\mapsto\; (D(V/U_2) \subset D(V/U_1) \subset D(V))$$

If $x = \dim U_1$, $y = \dim U_2/U_1$, $z = \dim V/U_2$, then $\dim D(V/U_2) = z$, $\dim D(V/U_1) = y + z$, $\dim D(V) = x + y + z$, so $R(U_1 \subset U_2 \subset V)$ has dimension type (z, y, x). □

3.2. **From dimension vectors to dimension types...** It is the aim of this section to show the first part of Theorem 1.1:

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let $M : (V, T, U_1, U_2)$ be an indecomposable system in $\mathcal{S}(4)$. Then the dimension type*

$$\dim M = (\dim U_1, \dim U_2/U_1, \dim V/U_2)$$

is in the marked region in the hexagonal diagrams under Theorem 1.1.

The covering functor $\pi : \mathcal{S}(\tilde{4}) \to \mathcal{S}(4)$ induces a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear map on the Grothendieck groups

$$\pi: \; K_0(\mathrm{mod}\widetilde{Q}) \to K_0(\mathrm{mod}Q), \; \mathbf{d} \mapsto (\textstyle\sum_i d_{i''}, \sum_i (d_{i'} - d_{i''}), \sum_i (d_{i'} - d_i)),$$

where $\widetilde{Q}$, Q are the quivers introduced in Section 2.1. Clearly, for an object $X \in \mathcal{S}(\tilde{4})$, the formula $\pi(\dim X) = \dim(\pi(X))$ holds.

Proof. In the proof, we identify for each dimension type (x, y, z) in the marked region a corresponding object $X \in \mathcal{S}(\widetilde{4})$ such that $\pi(\dim X) = (x, y, z)$.

We may assume that $M = \pi(X)$ where X is in the fundamental domain $\mathcal{D}$ for $\mathcal{S}(\tilde{4})$. So X is either an A-module or lies in one of the three inserted rays.

We first deal with the modules on the inserted rays. By applying π to the dimension vectors listed at the end of Section 2.4, the modules on the rays starting at $P(4'')$, $P(5')$, $P(6)$ give rise to the following dimension types.

$$(400) \to (300) \to (303) \to (312) \to (622) \to \cdots$$
$$(040) \to (130) \to (250) \to (251) \to (262) \to \cdots$$
$$(004) \to (013) \to (323) \to (223) \to (226) \to \cdots$$

As mentioned above, after every 4 steps the dimension vectors on the rays increase by $\mathbf{h}[1]$. Thus, after every 4 steps, the dimension type increase by $\pi(\mathbf{h}[1]) = (2, 2, 2)$. Thus, by checking the first four dimension types in each sequence, we easily verify that each dimension type of a module on one of the rays is in the marked region in the hexagonal diagrams.

The remaining indecomposable modules in $\mathcal{D}$ are all A-modules. As the category of modules over the tubular algebra A is controlled by an integral quadratic form χ_A, the dimension vector $\mathbf{d}$ of an indecomposable A-module is either a positive root (that is, $\chi_A(\mathbf{d}) = 1$) or a positive radical vector for χ_A (that is, $\chi_A(\mathbf{d}) = 0$). It turns out that the radical of the tubular algebra A is the subgroup of $K_0(A)$ generated by $\mathbf{h}$ and $\mathbf{h}[1]$ (see [9, 5.1 (1)]).

If the dimension vector $\mathbf{d}$ of the A-module X is in the radical, say $\mathbf{d} = a_0\mathbf{h}+a_\infty\mathbf{h}[1]$, then the dimension type for $M = \pi(X)$ is $(a_0 + a_\infty) \cdot (2, 2, 2)$.

In order to deal with all roots, we use the fact that for a radical vector $\mathbf{u}$ and a dimension vector $\mathbf{d}$, the quadratic form satisfies

$$\chi_A(\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{u}) = \chi_A(\mathbf{d}).$$

This property allows us to reduce a root $\mathbf{d}$ for χ_A to a root for $\chi_{A'}$ (see below) as follows. Put $\mathbf{d}' = \mathbf{d} - \mathbf{d}_{\bar{3}}\mathbf{h} - \mathbf{d}_5\mathbf{h}[1]$. Since $\mathbf{h}$ and $\mathbf{h}[1]$ are in the radical, we have

$$1 \;=\; \chi(\mathbf{d}) \;=\; \chi(\mathbf{d}').$$

Since $\mathbf{h}$ has entry 1 in position $\bar{3}$ and $\mathbf{h}[1]$ has entry 1 in position 5, we have $\mathbf{d}'_5 = \mathbf{d}'_{\bar{3}} = 0$, so $\mathbf{d}'$ is a root for the quadratic form of the algebra A' given by the following quiver with relations (which is obtained from the quiver for A by deleting the points $\bar{3}$ and 5, and the corresponding arrows and relations).

$Q_{A'}$:



The algebra is tilted of type $\mathbb{E}_7$; the roots for $\chi_{A'}$ are obtained from the roots of the Dynkin diagram $\mathbb{E}_7$ as follows. Suppose $\begin{pmatrix} & & & b'' & & & \\ a & b & & g & c & d & d' \end{pmatrix}$ is a root for $\mathbb{E}_7$, then the corresponding root for $\chi_{A'}$ is $\begin{pmatrix} & & d' & d \\ c'' & & & c \\ b'' & & & b \\ & & & a \end{pmatrix}$ where $c'' = b'' + c - g$, and gives hence rise to the dimension type

$$\begin{aligned}(x, y, z) &= (a + b'' + c'', b - b'' + c - c'' + d', d - d') \\ &= (a + 2b'' + c - g, b - 2b'' + g + d', d - d')\end{aligned}$$

In the following diagram, we take each of the 63 positive roots of $\mathbb{E}_7$, for example from [3, Planche VI], and represent the corresponding dimension type in the

hexagonal diagram by putting the number $\min(x, y, z)$ at position (x, y, z).



We note that all roots fall into the marked region in the hexagonal diagrams following Theorem 1.1. The negative roots for $\mathbb{E}_7$ give rise to the negative dimension types; it is straightforward to verify that they, too, fall in the marked region in the hexagonal diagrams. □

We observe that none of the integer multiples of $(2, 2, 2)$ is realized as the dimension type of a root.

COROLLARY 3.3. *Suppose the indecomposable object $X \in \mathcal{S}(\widetilde{4})$ has dimension vector $\mathbf{d}$ and dimension type $\pi(\mathbf{d})$. Then $\mathbf{d}$ is a linear combination of $\mathbf{h}$ and $\mathbf{h}[1]$ if and only if $\pi(\mathbf{d})$ is an integer multiple of $(2, 2, 2)$. In particular, if X is on one of the inserted rays, or if $\mathbf{d}$ is a root, then $\pi(\mathbf{d})$ is not an integer multiple of $(2, 2, 2)$.*

Proof. We may assume that X is in the fundamental domain $\mathcal{D}$, so either X is an A-module or X is on one of the three inserted rays.

We have seen that for the modules in the inserted rays, none of the dimension vectors is a linear combination of $\mathbf{h}$ and $\mathbf{h}[1]$, and the corresponding dimension types are not multiples of $(2, 2, 2)$.

It remains to deal with A-modules. Obviously, the radical generators $\mathbf{h}$ and $\mathbf{h}[1]$ for χ_A and all their linear combinations are mapped to multiples of $(2, 2, 2)$. Conversely, we have seen in the proof of Proposition 3.2 that none of the roots is mapped to a multiple of $(2, 2, 2)$. □

3.3. **...and back to dimension vectors.** We show that each triple (x, y, z) which satisfies the conditions in Theorem 1.1 can be realized as the dimension type of an indecomposable object in $\mathcal{S}(4)$.

First note that dimension types of the form $(4, 0, 0) + \mathbb{N}(2, 2, 2)$, $(0, 4, 0) + \mathbb{N}(2, 2, 2)$, $(0, 0, 4) + \mathbb{N}(2, 2, 2)$ and $(3, 0, 3) + \mathbb{N}(2, 2, 2)$ occur on the three inserted rays (the corresponding objects in $\mathcal{S}(4)$ are pictured in [7, (3.5)]).

For the remaining triples, it suffices to assume that they have the form (x, y, z) where $x \leq z$. Using reflection duality (Section 3.1) we can obtain the corresponding triples with $x \geq z$.

We realize those triples as modules over algebras $A^{(12)}$ or $A^{(3)}$ which are tame concealed or tame domestic, respectively.

Consider the path algebras given by the following two quivers with relations:



Both algebras have finite representation type. A representations X for which the horizontal maps are monomorphisms can be considered as an object V in $\mathcal{S}(\widetilde{4})$, note that we put $V_1' = V_1 = X_1$.

For each such indecomposable representation X we indicate the corresponding dimension type $(x, y, z) = \dim(\pi(V))$ in the hexagonal diagram below by putting the number $\min(x, y, z)$ at position (x, y, z).



dimension types for $A^{(1)}$ dimension types for $A^{(2)}$

We verify that each triple (x, y, z) in Theorem 1.1 with $x \leq z$ and $\min(x, y, z) \in \{0, 1\}$ and different from $(4, 0, 0)$, $(0, 4, 0)$, $(0, 0, 4)$, $(3, 0, 3)$ can be realized as the dimension type of an embedding $\pi(X)$ where X is an indecomposable module over either $A^{(1)}$ or $A^{(2)}$ — with one additional exception: The triple $(4, 1, 4)$ cannot be realized in this way and we will need to deal with it later.

The algebra $A^{(12)}$ is given by the quiver with relations,

$Q_{A^{(12)}}$:



it is a tame concealed algebra of type $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_7$, its radical vector is $\mathbf{h}$. Thus, if a homogeneous module H has dimension vector $s\cdot\mathbf{h}$, and corresponds to an object $V\in\mathcal{S}(\widetilde{4})$, then $\pi(V)$ has dimension type $s\cdot(2,2,2)$.

Let (x,y,z) be a triple satisfying the condition in the theorem and such that $x\le z$. We assume that (x,y,z) is not one of $(4,0,0)$, $(0,4,0)$, $(0,0,4)$, $(3,0,3)$, $(4,1,4)$, up to a multiple of $(2,2,2)$. Then $(x,y,z)=(x',y',z')+s\cdot(2,2,2)$ where s is a non-negative number and where the triple (x',y',z') satisfies $\min(x',y',z')\in\{0,1\}$ and occurs in one of the hexagonal diagrams above. Let H be a homogeneous $A^{(12)}$-module of dimension vector $s\cdot\mathbf{h}$, and let X be an indecomposable $A^{(1)}$- or $A^{(2)}$-module such that $\pi(X)$ is an embedding of dimension type (x',y',z'). According to [10, Lemma (3.1.1)], there exists an extension N of X by H or of H by X. Then N has the property that $\pi(N)$ is an embedding with

$$\dim\pi(N)=(x',y',z')+s\cdot(2,2,2)=(x,y,z).$$

It remains to deal with the dimension types of the form $(4,1,4)+\mathbb{Z}(2,2,2)$. Consider the algebra $A^{(3)}$:

$Q_{A^{(3)}}$:



This algebra is tame domestic with radical generated by $\mathbf{h}[1]$; we verify that there is an indecomposable $A^{(3)}$-module M of dimension vector $\mathbf{d}$; this module is preprojective.

$$\mathbf{d}=\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & & & 1\\ & & & & 0 & & 2\\ 1 & & 2 & & 3 & & \\ & & & & 2 & & \\ & & & & 1 & & \end{array}$$

It turns out that the indecomposable $A^{(3)}$-modules of dimension vectors $\mathbf{e}_{2''}$, $\mathbf{e}_{2'}$, and $\mathbf{e}_{2'}+\mathbf{e}_{3'}$ are regular or preinjective, and hence $\pi(M)$ is an embedding. Let c be the Coxeter transformation. One verifies that $c^4(\mathbf{d})=\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{h}^3$, so for each natural number s there is an indecomposable preprojective module M_s of dimension vector

$\mathbf{d} + s\mathbf{h}[1]$. It follows that $\pi(M_s)$ is an embedding of dimension type $(4,1,4) + s \cdot (2,2,2)$.

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

4. The hexagonal pictures in the finite cases

For $n < 4$, each of the categories $\mathcal{S}(n)$ is of finite type. All the indecomposable objects have been determined explicitely in [7, Section 3.1]. Note that the dimension types there are given in the form $(\dim U_1, \dim U_2, \dim V) = (x, x+y, x+y+z)$.

4.1. $n = 1$**.** In this case, the only indecomposables are the projective objects with dimension types $(0,0,1)$, $(0,1,0)$, $(1,0,0)$.



4.2. $n = 2$**.** The dimension types of the 9 indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{S}(2)$ in three space and in the hexagonal picture.





4.3. $n = 3$**.** The dimension types of the 27 indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{S}(3)$ in three space. Note that the points $(2,1,2)$ and $(1,2,1)$ are missing.



After rotation, we obtain the hexagonal picture. Note the symmetry with respect to the plane $x = z$ given by the functor R in Section 3.1.



References

[1] Birkhoff, G.: *Subgroups of abelian groups,* Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., II. Ser. **38** (1934), 385–401.

[2] Bongartz, K.: *Indecomposables live in all smaller lengths,* Represent. Theory **17** (2013), 199–225.

[3] Bourbaki, N.: *Groupes et algèbres de Lie,* Chapitres 4, 5, et 6, Masson, Paris 1981.

[4] Happel, D.: *Triangulated categories in the representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras,* London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **119**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.

[5] Kussin, D., Lenzing, H., Meltzer, H.: *Nilpotent operators and weighted projective lines,* J. Reine Angew. Math. **685** (2013), 33–71.

[6] Kwakernaak, H., Sivan, R., *Linear Optimal Control Systems,* Wiley (1972).

[7] Moore, A.: *Auslander-Reiten theory for systems of submodule embeddings,* Doctoral dissertation at Florida Atlantic University (2009), xi + 112 pp.

[8] Moore, A.: *The Auslander and Ringel-Tachikawa theorem for submodule embeddings,* Comm. Alg. **38** (2010), 3805–3820.

[9] Ringel, C.M.: *Tame algebras and integral quadratic forms,* Springer Lect. Notes Math. **1099** (1984).

[10] Ringel, C.M., Schmidmeier, M.: *Invariant subspaces of nilpotent linear operators, I,* J. reine angew. Math. **614** (2008), 1–52.

[11] Ringel, C.M.: *Indecomposables live in all smaller lengths,* Bull. London Math. Soc. **43** (2011), 655–660.

[12] Simson, D.: *Linear representations of partially ordered sets and vector space categories,* Gordon and Breach, 1992.

[13] Simson, D.: *Representation types of the categories of subprojective representations of a finite poset over $k[t]/(t^m)$ and a solution to a Birkhoff type problem,* J. Algebra **311** (2007), 1–30.

[14] Xiong, B.-L., Zhang, P., Zhang, Y.-H.: *Auslander-Reiten translations in monomorphism categories,* Forum Math. **26** (2014), 863–912.

E-mail address: audreydoughty@yahoo.com

E-mail address: markus@math.fau.edu